

NOT THE PROPER WAY

The Practice of Instructing the Juries.

An Interesting Decision by the Supreme Court.

An Escaped Prisoner Recaptured. Hale and Norcross Annual Meeting. San Diego "Boom" Bill. Board of Equalizers.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SAN FRANCISCO, March 11.—The practice of some superior judges in directing the jury to find for the plaintiff, or to find for the defendant, is criticised by the Supreme Court today in deciding the case of A. J. O'Connor against O. S. Witherbee, an action growing out of the failure of the Consolidated National Bank of San Diego. The judge directed the jury to find for the plaintiff, and this was the main ground of appeal.

"We do not think that the judgment should be reversed," says the court, "because the court instructed the jury to find for the plaintiff. Of course, such an instruction could not be upheld where there was conflicting evidence as to material facts which the jury had the right to pass on; but, where there is no substantial conflict of evidence as to the facts, the determination of the case, or such facts as admitted there, the judgment will not be reversed for such an instruction, although the practice is hazardous, and can be sanctioned only in the clearest cases."

RESTRAINING ORDER REMOVED.

The Railroad Commission Has Power Now to Reduce Rates.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SAN FRANCISCO, March 11.—Judge McKenna resumed his hearing of the motion to set aside the temporary restraining order restraining the Railroad Commission from reducing freight rates on the Southern Pacific road, in the United States District Court today. Hayne asked that a transcript of the testimony recently given by C. P. Huntington before the Senate committee be admitted to show that the expenses of the steamship line from New York to New Orleans were included in the operating expenses of the Southern Pacific Company.

This he claimed, swelled the cost of the water company and made its percentage of gain considerably less. Judge Garber, for the railroad, replied to this, claiming that the argument advanced was immaterial and unimportant. Judge McKenna agreed with Garber, holding that the contents of the Hayne were irrelevant, but as a matter of policy he decided to admit the transcript in question in evidence.

After several other motions of minor importance had been disposed of, Hayne commenced his opening argument. He read from decisions and arguments in other cases involving questions of legislation. He contended that the Board of Railroad Commissioners had no power to fix rates arbitrarily. The power is a legislative one, and belongs to the Legislature. If the rates now in force are unjust, it was the duty of the Legislature to fix them, and not that of the commission.

MALE AND MISCROSS.

A New Set of Officers and Economic Reforms Instituted.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SAN FRANCISCO, March 11.—The annual meeting of the Hale and Norcross mine was held today, and it was the most interesting held for years. Jeremiah Lynch headed off opposition to Nat T. Messer, the nominal head of the company, and carried the day. Messer held proxies for 59,000 shares and Lynch had only 9,027. Nat Lynch produced enough actual stock to win out. This puts Lynch and his friends in control of the mine and will enable him to carry out reforms in management which he alleges before the election were neglected. The exact majority for Lynch was 47,634.

When the result was announced the Lynch party elected a board of directors as follows: Jeremiah Lynch, J. M. Moore, A. Krause, Thomas McDonald, E. C. Barry, J. L. Bradbury and G. C. Smead. The directors organized by electing Lynch president, Moore vice-president and R. V. Collins secretary. The offices of foreman and superintendent of the mine were consolidated and T. W. Tangeman was appointed to the place. The president's salary was reduced from \$125 to \$100 a month, and the \$50 salary of the vice-president will not be paid. Moore, the superintendent and foreman will receive \$175 a month instead of \$375. The secretary \$125 instead of \$200, and the offices will be moved to much cheaper quarters. In all a saving of \$477 a month in expenses will be made to start with. Lynch and McDonald will visit the mine in a few days and other economies will be instituted.

RELIC OF THE "BOOM."

Decision of the Supreme Court in a San Diego Case.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SAN FRANCISCO, March 11.—The decision of the Supreme Court today in the appeal of the National Carriage Company from a decision against it, in its suit against Mrs. Adella B. Story, gives the carriage company another chance. This is a relic of the San Diego "boom." When the Story & Isham Commercial Company was organized, Mrs. Story owned 248 shares of stock in the Commercial Company of a face value of \$248,000. They were given her by her husband.

The Commercial Company became insolvent, and Mrs. Story, in her defense, alleges that she was the only solvent stockholder. She transferred her stock to W. E. Williams, who had just gone through insolvency. The court held that this transfer was valid, but the Supreme Court reversed this ruling and orders a new trial. The National Carriage Manufacturing Company was one of the creditors of the commercial company, and Mrs. Story, as a stockholder on a judgment obtained against the company.

CALIFORNIA FRUIT. Drops but Little Damaged by the Recent Cold Wave.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SAN FRANCISCO, March 11.—The Chronicle says that a sufficient time has now elapsed since the recent cold weather to make estimates of the damage sustained by fruit from frost of some value. According to the Chronicle, the secured fruit in the various sections of the various orchard sections, opinions of reliable fruit-growers in regard to crop prospects. The results are more satisfactory than was expected. With the exception of a few spots in Sonoma county and Northern California, the previous estimates of loss caused by the cold were greatly exaggerated.

gerated. In Sonoma county, almonds and apricots suffered to some extent, and also cherries, but other fruit was little harmed. The damage to the peaches sustained by the cold weather will result in the State. With no late frosts the coming fruit-crop should be large in almost every part of the State.

HOW HE BRIDGED OVER IT.

Ex-Mayor Gregory Returns to Sacramento and is Interviewed.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SACRAMENTO, March 11.—Ex-Mayor Eugene J. Gregory, who has been absent in the East for over a year, returned to Sacramento today. It has been said that Gregory went east because he did not want to answer certain questions which the grand jury proposed to propound to him concerning the construction of a railroad bridge over the Sacramento River, with the assistance of the Board of Supervisors.

Gregory said, in answer to a question by a reporter, that he had gone east to handle private business and that he had devoted his whole time, and that the stories from Sacramento did not give him the least concern. He said that he had nothing to do with the railroad-bridge matter, and did not handle any money for any of the parties.

THE EQUALIZERS

Will Have a Conference Regarding the Assessment of Banks.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SACRAMENTO, March 11.—The State Board of Equalization has determined to have a conference with the Bank Commissioners in regard to the assessment of banks. Under the law the banks must report to the commissioners from time to time as the latter direct, and the County Assessors throughout the State are anxious to have the commissioners request the banks to report on the first day of March of each year. In this way the assessors can get at the amount of property on hand.

The equalizers will endeavor to have the Bank Commissioners compel the banks throughout the State to report to them in accordance with the law, on March 1 of each year. This will form a basis upon which county assessors will work, and avoid all possibility of the banks returning false statements.

MRS. GOODSPEED'S WILL.

Will Be Contested by Her Practically Disinherited Children.

(BY ASSOCIATED PRESS WIRE.) SAN FRANCISCO, March 11.—Mrs. Lucy Goodspeed, who successfully contested the will of her mother, Mrs. Annie A. Pratt, who died in Los Angeles some time ago, and thereby obtained a fortune of \$500,000, is liable to have her will contested. Mrs. Goodspeed died March 7, and in her will leaves her three eldest children \$500 each. The rest of the estate is left in trust for the benefit of her three minor children. Friends of the disinherited children say that they will contest the will.

AN ESCAPED PRISONER RECAPTURED.

WOODLAND (Ariz.), March 11.—Charles Lamont was arrested today, charged with having escaped from the Idaho penitentiary in 1883. Lamont, whose real name is Chambers, was released from San Quentin prison on March 1, where he had just completed a twenty-one years' sentence, less credits, for robbery. Chambers is known as a desperate criminal. He was arrested in 1883, and in 1884 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1885, and in 1886 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1887, and in 1888 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1889, and in 1890 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1891, and in 1892 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1893, and in 1894 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1895, and in 1896 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1897, and in 1898 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1899, and in 1900 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1901, and in 1902 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1903, and in 1904 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1905, and in 1906 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1907, and in 1908 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1909, and in 1910 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1911, and in 1912 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1913, and in 1914 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1915, and in 1916 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1917, and in 1918 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1919, and in 1920 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1921, and in 1922 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1923, and in 1924 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1925, and in 1926 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1927, and in 1928 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1929, and in 1930 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1931, and in 1932 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1933, and in 1934 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1935, and in 1936 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1937, and in 1938 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1939, and in 1940 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1941, and in 1942 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1943, and in 1944 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1945, and in 1946 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1947, and in 1948 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1949, and in 1950 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1951, and in 1952 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1953, and in 1954 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1955, and in 1956 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1957, and in 1958 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1959, and in 1960 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1961, and in 1962 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1963, and in 1964 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1965, and in 1966 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1967, and in 1968 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1969, and in 1970 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1971, and in 1972 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1973, and in 1974 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1975, and in 1976 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1977, and in 1978 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1979, and in 1980 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1981, and in 1982 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1983, and in 1984 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1985, and in 1986 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1987, and in 1988 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1989, and in 1990 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1991, and in 1992 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1993, and in 1994 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1995, and in 1996 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1997, and in 1998 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 1999, and in 2000 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2001, and in 2002 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2003, and in 2004 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2005, and in 2006 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2007, and in 2008 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2009, and in 2010 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2011, and in 2012 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2013, and in 2014 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2015, and in 2016 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2017, and in 2018 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2019, and in 2020 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2021, and in 2022 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2023, and in 2024 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2025, and in 2026 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2027, and in 2028 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2029, and in 2030 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2031, and in 2032 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2033, and in 2034 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2035, and in 2036 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2037, and in 2038 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2039, and in 2040 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2041, and in 2042 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2043, and in 2044 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2045, and in 2046 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2047, and in 2048 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2049, and in 2050 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2051, and in 2052 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2053, and in 2054 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2055, and in 2056 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2057, and in 2058 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2059, and in 2060 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2061, and in 2062 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2063, and in 2064 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2065, and in 2066 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2067, and in 2068 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2069, and in 2070 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2071, and in 2072 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2073, and in 2074 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2075, and in 2076 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2077, and in 2078 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2079, and in 2080 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2081, and in 2082 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2083, and in 2084 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2085, and in 2086 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2087, and in 2088 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2089, and in 2090 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2091, and in 2092 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2093, and in 2094 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2095, and in 2096 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2097, and in 2098 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2099, and in 2100 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2101, and in 2102 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2103, and in 2104 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2105, and in 2106 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2107, and in 2108 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2109, and in 2110 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2111, and in 2112 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2113, and in 2114 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2115, and in 2116 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2117, and in 2118 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2119, and in 2120 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2121, and in 2122 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2123, and in 2124 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2125, and in 2126 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2127, and in 2128 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2129, and in 2130 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2131, and in 2132 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2133, and in 2134 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2135, and in 2136 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2137, and in 2138 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2139, and in 2140 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2141, and in 2142 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2143, and in 2144 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2145, and in 2146 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2147, and in 2148 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2149, and in 2150 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2151, and in 2152 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2153, and in 2154 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2155, and in 2156 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2157, and in 2158 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2159, and in 2160 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2161, and in 2162 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2163, and in 2164 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2165, and in 2166 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2167, and in 2168 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2169, and in 2170 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2171, and in 2172 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2173, and in 2174 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2175, and in 2176 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2177, and in 2178 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2179, and in 2180 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2181, and in 2182 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2183, and in 2184 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2185, and in 2186 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2187, and in 2188 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2189, and in 2190 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2191, and in 2192 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2193, and in 2194 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2195, and in 2196 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2197, and in 2198 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2199, and in 2200 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2201, and in 2202 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2203, and in 2204 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2205, and in 2206 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2207, and in 2208 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2209, and in 2210 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2211, and in 2212 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2213, and in 2214 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2215, and in 2216 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2217, and in 2218 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2219, and in 2220 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2221, and in 2222 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2223, and in 2224 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2225, and in 2226 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2227, and in 2228 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2229, and in 2230 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2231, and in 2232 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2233, and in 2234 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2235, and in 2236 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2237, and in 2238 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2239, and in 2240 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2241, and in 2242 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2243, and in 2244 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2245, and in 2246 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2247, and in 2248 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2249, and in 2250 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2251, and in 2252 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2253, and in 2254 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2255, and in 2256 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2257, and in 2258 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2259, and in 2260 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2261, and in 2262 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2263, and in 2264 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2265, and in 2266 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2267, and in 2268 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2269, and in 2270 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2271, and in 2272 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2273, and in 2274 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2275, and in 2276 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2277, and in 2278 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2279, and in 2280 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2281, and in 2282 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2283, and in 2284 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2285, and in 2286 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2287, and in 2288 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2289, and in 2290 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2291, and in 2292 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2293, and in 2294 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2295, and in 2296 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2297, and in 2298 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2299, and in 2300 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2301, and in 2302 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2303, and in 2304 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2305, and in 2306 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2307, and in 2308 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2309, and in 2310 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2311, and in 2312 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2313, and in 2314 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2315, and in 2316 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2317, and in 2318 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2319, and in 2320 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2321, and in 2322 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2323, and in 2324 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2325, and in 2326 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2327, and in 2328 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2329, and in 2330 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2331, and in 2332 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2333, and in 2334 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2335, and in 2336 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2337, and in 2338 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2339, and in 2340 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2341, and in 2342 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2343, and in 2344 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2345, and in 2346 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2347, and in 2348 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2349, and in 2350 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2351, and in 2352 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2353, and in 2354 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2355, and in 2356 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2357, and in 2358 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2359, and in 2360 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2361, and in 2362 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2363, and in 2364 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2365, and in 2366 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2367, and in 2368 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2369, and in 2370 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2371, and in 2372 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2373, and in 2374 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2375, and in 2376 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2377, and in 2378 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2379, and in 2380 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2381, and in 2382 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2383, and in 2384 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2385, and in 2386 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2387, and in 2388 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2389, and in 2390 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2391, and in 2392 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2393, and in 2394 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2395, and in 2396 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2397, and in 2398 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2399, and in 2400 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2401, and in 2402 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2403, and in 2404 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2405, and in 2406 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2407, and in 2408 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2409, and in 2410 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2411, and in 2412 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2413, and in 2414 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2415, and in 2416 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2417, and in 2418 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2419, and in 2420 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2421, and in 2422 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2423, and in 2424 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2425, and in 2426 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2427, and in 2428 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2429, and in 2430 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2431, and in 2432 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2433, and in 2434 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2435, and in 2436 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2437, and in 2438 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2439, and in 2440 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2441, and in 2442 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2443, and in 2444 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2445, and in 2446 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2447, and in 2448 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2449, and in 2450 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2451, and in 2452 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2453, and in 2454 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2455, and in 2456 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2457, and in 2458 he was sentenced to the penitentiary for a term of years. He was released in 2459, and in 2

THE FINAL REPORT.

City Officers Nearly All Patted on the Back.

Exception Made by Scoring the Police Courts.

The Grand Jury Expresses General Satisfaction with City Affairs. Its Work Done at Last—Discharged by Judge Smith.

The grand jury filed into Department One yesterday at 3 p.m., for the last time. Foreman Storrs stepped forward and handed to Judge Smith the final report of the voluminous work done by the inquisitorial body, and His Honor, after looking through it, ordered it to be filed by the clerk, as approved by the court. He then discharged the grand jury from further duty, the session having lasted over six months already, and a large amount of expert work having been done.

Following is the entire report as it was submitted:

The principal function of the grand jury, as we inferred from your instructions when impaneled, is to examine carefully into the accounts and management of the city officers and institutions. Averting ourselves of the aid of competent accountants and experts we have endeavored to follow out your instructions. The result of our investigations, in addition to the partial reports already made, are presented in this, our final report.

We have endeavored to be thorough, conscientious and impartial in the performance of our duty. Errors where found have been pointed out; mistakes have been corrected, and where we found evidence of criminal practice we have called your Honor's attention to the same. We have endeavored to perform our duty without malice, fear or favor; our only aim has been the public good.

The amount of work performed cannot be appreciated by an unfamiliar with the public business of the county. It has involved the careful examination of hundreds of volumes of public records, and many thousands of vouchers and accounts. In some instances we found it necessary to go back for many years to obtain a starting point for our examinations. If any irregularity in any office has escaped our notice, it has not been from a lack of careful examination.

In closing up our work we have endeavored to distinctly mark the point where we closed our investigations, so that the grand jury will find no difficulty in deciding where to begin their work.

The six months covered by our sessions may seem long, but our actual sessions are only seventy-one days. In addition to our principal work, as above set forth, we have been called to investigate matters of a criminal nature; at least half our time has been so occupied. We have denied admission to no one who has knocked at our door with a matter which we wished to bring to our attention. Many of these matters, after hearing the testimony, we found to be not felonies, but misdemeanors, and outside the jurisdiction of the jury; but were proper subjects for the police courts of the city, or the District Attorney's office. Many of the cases investigated by us were based on rumor and hearsay. In prosecuting this work we have examined 121 witnesses.

We have visited the County Hospital, Farm, asylums, jails and prisons, and made careful inquiry into their condition and management. The results of which will be found embodied in our preceding reports. In obedience to your Honor's special instructions, we have investigated the accounts of the city officers, and herewith present our report of the same.

JUSTICE AND POLICE COURTS, LOS ANGELES CITY.

We have made an examination of the records, books and accounts of the Police courts and City Justice courts of Los Angeles city. Our examination proper covers the period, January 1, 1895, to the end of the year.

These courts are presided over by Justices M. T. Owens and D. C. Morrison, the city Justices for Los Angeles city being ex-officio judges of the Police courts, and an such receive a salary of \$2000 per annum, which salary is paid by said city. All fines, penalties and forfeitures collected by these Police courts, also all fees collected by them as justices of the peace, are to be paid into the city treasury. They are allowed no further compensation or fees, the salaries paid being in full for all services.

In a number of civil cases in Justice Morrison's court, we find by the cash book that fees have been paid, but no record of such payment entered on the docket. We find the condition of both the civil and criminal dockets and records of Justice Morrison's court in a very bad condition and improperly kept. The unsatisfactory condition in which we found the records of this court (Department Two) we can attribute largely to the fact of the great number of civil cases tried in the same.

We understand that Justice Owens does not encourage the bringing of civil suits in this court. We understand also that Justice Owens exacts a cash deposit when a case is filed, and that Justice Morrison, of the other court, does not exact such a deposit.

As the city is directly interested in the matter, we recommend that the City Council, under Sec. 103 of the Civil Code, require a deposit to be made in the city Justice's courts by all persons filing a civil case in either court, and direct the justices to enforce the payment thereof.

We find from our examination that the dockets contain many entries where costs have not been collected. Those sums of money are legally due the city, largely from responsible parties, and we believe the City Council should take steps to collect the same.

CITY AUDITOR.

We have examined all the books and papers of this office, covering a period from July 1, 1894, to July 1, 1895. Also verified the Auditor's balances of July 1, 1894 and 1895, by those of the City Treasurer.

We have checked and examined about 17,000 demands, vouchers, and coupons paid during that time.

Among the former we found many clerical errors, none of which were very serious. In addition to these we found numerous errors in giving wrong dates, wrong numbers of demands, duplicating numbers, and wrong dates, and omission of pages of ledger to which entries had been posted, and wrong titles expressed in journal entries. We also find treated in addition to these demands where the amount written in body differed with the itemized account. On the subject of bonds and coupons the only irregularities found were:

First—The bond and coupon register has never been written up.

Second—The payment by the treasurer of a \$25 coupon in May 1895, that will not be due until May 1896.

Prior to July 1, 1894, the Auditor's books were out of balance \$40.55, and under date of November 30, 1894, an entry was made on page 340 of demand journal No. 12, forcing a balance, with the notation that it was made to correct errors originating prior to 1890, but does not state by what authority this was done. Under date

of November 30, 1894, a transfer of \$2,104.27 was made on the face of the ledger from general expense account to "Funds general," without any journal entry, or other explanation. We find no one connected with the office able to explain why this was done.

There are various other transfers made in like manner on the face of the ledger.

There are also seventeen vouchers missing, which should appear on file. In conclusion, we recommend that the City Auditor be provided with better facilities in the way of cases for the care of papers. He should also be supplied with a good vault for the storage of his books and papers, not in actual use.

CITY ASSESSOR.

The time covered by the examination of this office was the assessment years of 1894-95.

The personal property collections were the principal subject of our examination. The office methods used in this part of the Assessor's work are admirable, and for the year 1895 the amount of cash turned into the city treasury by the Assessor agreed to a cent with the total collections, as shown by the receipt stubs.

For 1894 there is a difference on four collections, the amount on the stubs aggregating \$188.44, an amount appearing on assessment roll, as having been collected, \$188.50, leaving a deficiency to be accounted for of \$5.84.

We find from the records a number of cases of personal property which appear to have escaped the city taxation, representing a tax thereby not collected, and so lost to the city, of some \$30 for 1894, and about \$350 for 1895. Some property included in the above may, however, have been placed on the assessment roll under a different name, and so have paid the tax, but a portion of the property undoubtedly paid no tax whatever. In the course of our examination we find instances of a marked difference between the assessed value of personal property on the county roll and the city roll.

In ten cases of the assessment for 1894 the aggregate county value was \$42,352, and the same on the city roll was \$29,175, leaving a difference of \$13,077. The taxes on same (at \$1.50) would have yielded the city \$19,615. In like manner nineteen personal property assessments for 1895 aggregated on the county roll, \$77,615, and on the city roll only \$59,210, a difference of \$18,405, which amount, at the tax rate of \$1.45, would have produced the sum of \$26,789. We find, as a rule, that city valuations are higher than county valuations.

Some differences in valuation will naturally occur, but it would seem that a person giving an assessment to the city, and also one to the county, on the same date, would value his personal property at the same figure, both city and county assessments being made for the first Monday in March.

We would suggest that it might be well for the City Assessor and the County Assessor to make comparisons of personal property assessments to ascertain, as far as possible, the true value of the property.

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS.

We have examined the books and accounts of this department, which consist simply of two books, one containing a record of building permits issued and fees collected for same, and one containing a record of plumbing permits issued and fees collected for same from July 1, 1894, to February 1, 1895.

The total amount of fees charged and collected for building permits was \$4675.

We find that the proper amounts have been charged for fees, and that the full amount collected has been deposited with the City Treasurer. The discrepancy of \$6.50 was caused by errors in footing in the books, which we discovered, and this shortage has been adjusted by an entry in the February account, adding \$6.50 with a note of explanation.

There are no other books or accounts kept in this department, and disbursements made for salaries and supplies being made through the City Clerk's office. The accounts of salaries and other disbursements should properly be kept in the office of this department.

PUBLIC LIBRARY.

We have examined all the books and accounts of this department from July 1, 1894, to February 1, 1895. We find the same have been neatly and accurately kept and agree with the accounts of this department in the offices of the City Auditor and City Treasurer.

The following annual statement for the year ending December 1, 1895, recently issued by this department, and which we have carefully checked over and found correct, shows in detail the receipts and disbursements for the period named:

RECEIPTS.	
From Auditor's account	\$11,526.27
From dues	29.85
From fines	1,237.04
From library account credits	113.13
Total	\$12,906.29

DISBURSEMENTS.	
For salaries, periodicals and binding	\$1,728.47
For printing	290.28
For stationery	52.83
For furniture and other	200.84
For incidentals, postage, etc.	455.97
For overdraft, previous year	2,122.12
Balance	1,414.31
Total	\$3,976.21

WATER DEPARTMENT (ZANZA SYSTEM).

We have checked up all the books and accounts to be found in this department from July 1, 1894, to January 1, 1895.

We find that during the term of the former superintendent, the accounts were very poorly kept. And from July 1, 1894 to January 1, 1895 (the last six months of his term), the only accounts on record are the receipts from sales of water, and his deposits with the City Treasurer, as follows:

Receipts.	
July, 1894	\$5230.50
August, 1894	3625.50
September, 1894	2386.50
October, 1894	381.00
November, 1894	375.50
December, 1894	53.00
Total	\$12,522.00

These figures agree with the books of the City Auditor and City Treasurer, with this exception: In July, 1894, there seems to have been a "water permit" issued by the Auditor, on July 16, for \$2.50, that is not accounted for, either by sale, or by the return of the permit unused.

We have failed to find any record of the disbursements in this department, as the books only give the cash disbursements mentioned, i. e., for the six months ending January 1, 1895.

Since the present overseer took charge of this department in January, 1895, the books and accounts have been kept and accurately kept, and we have made no difficulty in checking and proving all entries made during 1895. The books agree in every particular with the books of the City Auditor, and the receipts for deposits made with the City Treasurer are all on file in the office.

Everybody will wear them.

POOR TASTE
TO BE WITHOUT THEM. WE MEAN

You will surely want them.

Organdies, Grass Cloths, Dotted Swisses, Dimities, Jaconets, Piques, Mulls, Persian Lawns, Percales.

THESE ARE THE FAVORITES OF THIS SEASON'S WASH FABRICS. OUR ASSORTMENT COMPLETE.

8¹/₂c yd 10c yd 12¹/₂c yd 15c yd 20c yd 25c yd

Lace stripes and silk stripes, cords and plain, Yankee made or imported, all are here—"the cream of the cream"—dainty new lace effects, with all over or band trimming to match. If you want the choice of these dainties, better come now.

8¹/₂c 12¹/₂c 15c

30 pieces fine ARDMORE DIMITY in light and dark colors, fancy and scroll patterns, fast colors and good value at 8¹/₂c. All we ask is \$5.00 yard.

We have just received and marked for sale 20 French cutlery, made of best quality, silk, ebony black handles, eight ribbed and wavy everywhere 75c. This week only, at 50c.

Genuine G. P. Corset, every one knows this brand and that it sells for \$3 regularly, made of best French cutlery, with real white bone, medium long, extra long waisted, fancy silk stitched, \$1.50.

Japanese Silk, 21 inches wide, all colors, very fine and durable, worth \$1.25, marked only \$1.00. Pieces left and have always valued them at 35c; marked now at 25c.

20 pieces Dotted Swiss, in white and tan, large, small and medium dots, 27 inches wide, the very latest fad for summer dresses and the price we ask is very reasonable, 15c.

Any lady desiring something nice and stylish in the way of a Farasol, ask for our \$2.50 quality Japanese Silk, pure white, single stick handle, deep ruffle of chiffon and chiffon bow on top, \$2.50.

Ladies' Shirt Waists, every taste but bad taste is provided for in this department. Waists of percale, shirt finish, large sleeves, in pretty patterns, large and small figures, stripes and checks, 50c up to \$4.

J. M. HALE DRY GOODS COMPANY.

on file, and that a record be kept of the "demands" issued, covering the disbursements of this department. Total receipts from sale of water permits, 1895, \$19,780.00. Cost of operating and maintenance: Labor, \$7100.30; Supplies, \$491.74; Salaries, \$489.44; Total, \$8081.48. Excess of receipts over expenses, \$11,698.52.

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS.

Our examinations in this department cover a period beginning July 1, 1894, and extending to January 1, 1895. The books of this department only show the transactions that have occurred since November 14, 1894. No accounts of disbursements previous to that date appear on the books. It would be better if the total amount of such disbursements could be obtained from the books of the Auditor and Treasurer, and an entry made, segregating same to the different parks, so that the annual report from this department would show the total cost of each park and the total aggregate of expenditures.

We find the daily entries in the time-books kept by the park foreman, are not carefully and accurately made. There are too many marginal entries on the receipt-roll book, and the discrepancy of \$6.50 was caused by errors in footing in the books, which we discovered, and this shortage has been adjusted by an entry in the February account, adding \$6.50 with a note of explanation.

There are no other books or accounts kept in this department, and disbursements made for salaries and supplies being made through the City Clerk's office. The accounts of salaries and other disbursements should properly be kept in the office of this department.

PUBLIC LIBRARY.

We have examined all the books and accounts of this department from July 1, 1894, to February 1, 1895. We find the same have been neatly and accurately kept and agree with the accounts of this department in the offices of the City Auditor and City Treasurer.

The following annual statement for the year ending December 1, 1895, recently issued by this department, and which we have carefully checked over and found correct, shows in detail the receipts and disbursements for the period named:

RECEIPTS.	
From Auditor's account	\$11,526.27
From dues	29.85
From fines	1,237.04
From library account credits	113.13
Total	\$12,906.29

DISBURSEMENTS.	
For salaries, periodicals and binding	\$1,728.47
For printing	290.28
For stationery	52.83
For furniture and other	200.84
For incidentals, postage, etc.	455.97
For overdraft, previous year	2,122.12
Balance	1,414.31
Total	\$3,976.21

WATER DEPARTMENT (ZANZA SYSTEM).

We have checked up all the books and accounts to be found in this department from July 1, 1894, to January 1, 1895.

We find that during the term of the former superintendent, the accounts were very poorly kept. And from July 1, 1894 to January 1, 1895 (the last six months of his term), the only accounts on record are the receipts from sales of water, and his deposits with the City Treasurer, as follows:

Receipts.	
July, 1894	\$5230.50
August, 1894	3625.50
September, 1894	2386.50
October, 1894	381.00
November, 1894	375.50
December, 1894	53.00
Total	\$12,522.00

These figures agree with the books of the City Auditor and City Treasurer, with this exception: In July, 1894, there seems to have been a "water permit" issued by the Auditor, on July 16, for \$2.50, that is not accounted for, either by sale, or by the return of the permit unused.

We have failed to find any record of the disbursements in this department, as the books only give the cash disbursements mentioned, i. e., for the six months ending January 1, 1895.

Since the present overseer took charge of this department in January, 1895, the books and accounts have been kept and accurately kept, and we have made no difficulty in checking and proving all entries made during 1895. The books agree in every particular with the books of the City Auditor, and the receipts for deposits made with the City Treasurer are all on file in the office.

The only suggestion that we could make, as an improvement in the method employed in keeping the accounts, would be that a "duplicate" of all bills for supplies purchased be kept

on file, and that a record be kept of the "demands" issued, covering the disbursements of this department. Total receipts from sale of water permits, 1895, \$19,780.00. Cost of operating and maintenance: Labor, \$7100.30; Supplies, \$491.74; Salaries, \$489.44; Total, \$8081.48. Excess of receipts over expenses, \$11,698.52.

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS.

Our examinations in this department cover a period beginning July 1, 1894, and extending to January 1, 1895. The books of this department only show the transactions that have occurred since November 14, 1894. No accounts of disbursements previous to that date appear on the books. It would be better if the total amount of such disbursements could be obtained from the books of the Auditor and Treasurer, and an entry made, segregating same to the different parks, so that the annual report from this department would show the total cost of each park and the total aggregate of expenditures.

We find the daily entries in the time-books kept by the park foreman, are not carefully and accurately made. There are too many marginal entries on the receipt-roll book, and the discrepancy of \$6.50 was caused by errors in footing in the books, which we discovered, and this shortage has been adjusted by an entry in the February account, adding \$6.50 with a note of explanation.

There are no other books or accounts kept in this department, and disbursements made for salaries and supplies being made through the City Clerk's office. The accounts of salaries and other disbursements should properly be kept in the office of this department.

PUBLIC LIBRARY.

We have examined all the books and accounts of this department from July 1, 1894, to February 1, 1895. We find the same have been neatly and accurately kept and agree with the accounts of this department in the offices of the City Auditor and City Treasurer.

The following annual statement for the year ending December 1, 1895, recently issued by this department, and which we have carefully checked over and found correct, shows in detail the receipts and disbursements for the period named:

RECEIPTS.	
From Auditor's account	\$11,526.27
From dues	29.85
From fines	1,237.04
From library account credits	113.13
Total	\$12,906.29

SPECIAL TILL FURTHER NOTICE.

EYES TESTED FREE

BY **Drs. Thompson & Kyt.**

Dr. Thompson, graduate of Foster Optical College, Boston.
Dr. Kyt, graduate of Chicago Ophthalmic College, Chicago.

MISFIT FRAME.

The above cut shows a

The best fitting lenses are often spoiled by misfits in frames.

DECENTERED LENSES.

The above cut shows

Found in second quality stock.

PEFECTION BIFOCAL LENS.

Or double vision glasses, enabling you to read and see at a distance with the same pair.

Our Special Prices

For this week.

Here they are. Did you ever hear of the like?

Solid Gold Frames, warranted solid gold, \$1.75

Best quality Steel or Nickel Frames, all styles, 25c

Aluminum Frames, very light, never tarnish or rust, 25c

Alloy Frames, good imitation and often sold for gold, 25c

Colored Glasses, including frames, protect your eyes, 25c

First quality Lenses, per pair, properly fitted, \$1.00

Farm, Field and Fireside

of Chicago.

Edwin K. Alsip & Co.,
Western Managers,
1015 4th St., Sacramento,
Mills Bldg., San Francisco.

Room 9, 109 1-2 S. Broadway, Los Angeles.
CHAS. L. HUBBARD, Local Agent.

Teeth Extracted WITHOUT PAIN.

Beautiful sets of teeth on rubber, ranging in price from \$5 up. People from abroad can come in the morning and have their teeth home the same day. Many of our patients living on Kite-shape track—pay R.R. fare, have their teeth with friends in Los Angeles and get their teeth—all for the same price their home dentist charges, and say they get better work. We always have several hundred sets of teeth on hand to refresh. Were it not contrary to our anti-malarial and invigorating Peruvian Bark, but even more to the man who presented it to the public in the palatable and doubly efficacious form of

Peruvian Bitters

No medicine or compound known to science will so speedily expel the germs of malaria, ague, grippe, colds, or make the system so immune to their attacks.

W. H. & Co., San Francisco. All druggists and dealers.

TENTS AND AWNINGS.

Tents for Rent—
J. H. MASTERS, Manufacturer,
Tel.—Main, 1512, 218 Commercial St.

ONLY 50c A TOOTH.

We guarantee all our work and have without exception, the largest dental practice in Southern California.

Open Evenings.

Schliffman Method Dental Co.,
22 to 26 Schumacher Block,
107 N. Spring St., Los Angeles.

228 West Second St., bet. Spring and Broadway, Los Angeles.
612-Fifth St., San Diego.

THE SUN IN GLORY.

BE DIMMED IF IT HAD MANY WEAK SPOTS, SO WITH MAR.

THIRD Excursion TO Fair Oaks

—IN— THE HEART OF CALIFORNIA

On the Sheltered Highlands of the American River in Sacramento County, Only a Short Drive From Sacramento.

It is a delightful place to live in.

It is profitable to raise Oranges and Lemons there.

NO KILLING FROSTS.

FRUIT RIPS EARLY.

So as to get the advantages of the early holiday trade. Last Fall oranges brought as high as \$6.00 per box. Water in abundance. Terms of purchase very easy. Price, with water piped to each tract, \$100 per Acre.

Electric Railway in Progress.

Come to our office and get information of our Third Excursion, to leave March 16th, next Monday.

HUDSON MEDICAL INSTITUTE,
Stockton, Market and Ellis Streets, SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

HUDSON MEDICAL INSTITUTE.

TAINTED BLOOD—Impure blood, due to various private diseases, carries myriads of sore-producing germs. Then come sore throat, pimples, copper-colored spots, ulcers in mouth, skin eruptions, and finally hair. You can save a trip to Hot Springs by writing for "Blood Buds" to the old physicians of the

HUDSON MEDICAL INSTITUTE,
Stockton, Market and Ellis Streets.

LIVER—When your liver is affected you may feel blue, melancholy, irritable and easily discontented. You will notice many symptoms that you really have and many that you really do not have. You need a good liver regulator, and this you should take at once. You can get it from us. Write for book on liver troubles, "All About the Liver," free.

KIDNEY remedies are now sought for by many men, because so many men live rapid lives—use up their kidneys. If you wish to have your kidneys put in good order send for our Kidney Regulator or, better, learn something about your kidneys and how to make the test. The book, "A Knowledge of Kidneys," sent free.

HUDSON MEDICAL INSTITUTE.

Stockton, Market and Ellis Streets, SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

Schoolboys' Field Day.

The Elton School held its field day at Athletic Park yesterday. The contests resulted as follows: One hundred-yard dash, won by Moulton, Gardiner second; time 0:11 3/4.

Two hundred and twenty-yard dash: Intosh, O. Posey second; time 3:14.

One-mile bicycle, won by J. Posey, Robinson second; time 2:38.

Baseball throw: Won by Howard, Moulton second; 23 feet.

Two hundred and twenty-yard dash: Won by Moulton, Gardiner second; 0:26.

One-fourth mile run: Won by Moulton, time 1:04.

Broad jump: Won by Moulton, J. Posey second; 15 feet 8 inches.

Three-mile bicycle handicap: Won by J. Posey, Robinson second; 39:25.

Hop, step and jump: Won by Moulton, Gardiner second; 33 feet 8 inches.

HUDSON MEDICAL INSTITUTE.

Stockton, Market and Ellis Streets, SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

EVERLASTING GRATITUDE

Is the man who discovered and gave to the suffering millions the wonderful anti-malarial and invigorating Peruvian Bark, but even more to the man who presented it to the public in the palatable and doubly efficacious form of

Peruvian Bitters

No medicine or compound known to science will so speedily expel the germs of malaria, ague, grippe, colds, or make the system so immune to their attacks.

W. H. & Co., San Francisco. All druggists and dealers.

TENTS AND AWNINGS.

Tents for Rent—
J. H. MASTERS, Manufacturer,
Tel.—Main, 1512, 218 Commercial St.

Teeth Extracted WITHOUT PAIN.

Beautiful sets of teeth on rubber, ranging in price from \$5 up. People from abroad can come in the morning and have their teeth home the same day. Many of our patients living on Kite-shape track—pay R.R. fare, have their teeth with friends in Los Angeles and get their teeth—all for the same price their home dentist charges, and say they get better work. We always have several hundred sets of teeth on hand to refresh. Were it not contrary to our anti-malarial and invigorating Peruvian Bark, but even more to the man who presented it to the public in the palatable and doubly efficacious form of

Peruvian Bitters

No medicine or compound known to science will so speedily expel the germs of malaria, ague, grippe, colds, or make the system so immune to their attacks.

W. H. & Co., San Francisco. All druggists and dealers.

TENTS AND AWNINGS.

Tents for Rent—
J. H. MASTERS, Manufacturer,
Tel.—Main, 1512, 218 Commercial St.

Teeth Extracted WITHOUT PAIN.

Beautiful sets of teeth on rubber, ranging in price from \$5 up. People from abroad can come in the morning and have their teeth home the same day. Many of our patients living on Kite-shape track—pay R.R. fare, have their teeth with friends in Los Angeles and get their teeth—all for the same price their home dentist charges, and say they get better work. We always have several hundred sets of teeth on hand to refresh. Were it not contrary to our anti-malarial and invigorating Peruvian Bark, but even more to the man who presented it to the public in the palatable and doubly efficacious form of

Peruvian Bitters

No medicine or compound known to science will so speedily expel the germs of malaria, ague, grippe, colds, or make the system so immune to their attacks.

W. H. & Co., San Francisco. All druggists and dealers.

TENTS AND AWNINGS.

Tents for Rent—
J. H. MASTERS, Manufacturer,
Tel.—Main, 1512, 218 Commercial St.

THE CALIFORNIA NEWS

ORANGE COUNTY.

MUCH STREET-RAILROAD TALK IN SANTA ANA.

An enjoyable birthday party—interesting lecture about the Armenians—Proposed Labor Exchange—A Mexican Murderer in Court.

SANTA ANA, March 11.—(Regular Correspondence.) While there is not much appearing on the surface showing just what is being done in regard to rejuvenating the street railway in this city and vicinity, the fact remains that several men are hard at work on the project in the hope of interesting capitalists to take hold of the matter.

E. J. Tolle of this city, who is a thorough mechanic and a practical machinist, went to Los Angeles today in the interest of the street railway. His business was with the gentleman who were here a few days ago and looked over the ground with a view of constructing an electric line, not only in Santa Ana but to and through Orange, McPherson, El Modena, Tustin, and then back to Santa Ana, under which a road would, if properly encouraged, be obtained, be built on to some point on the beach, either west to or near Bolsa Chico Bay or south and east to Laguna and Arch beaches.

In this connection, it is known that overtures have been made to the Santa Ana Gas and Electric Light Company and if the former project would go through the new company would most likely control this lighting plant, in which event the incandescent lighting system would, no doubt, be again put in operation.

Where there is so much smoke there is apt to be a little fire, and Santa Ana may yet have an electric belt line that will surprise some of the natives.

A HOOSIER GATHERING.
A few of the neighbors and friends of Mrs. J. C. Galloway invaded her pleasant home on the corner of Horner and Spurgeon streets on Tuesday evening, and without her previous knowledge or consent, proceeded to make themselves at home. Of course, Hoosiers predominated. The occasion was the anniversary of her birthday. They talked about the weather and the crops; they discussed woman suffrage, spoke of the bicycle mania and the dress reform; in fact, there was considerable talking. Some of the furnished excellent music. All partook of refreshments.

ORANGE COUNTY BREVIETTES.

Rev. A. J. Melchior delivered an excellent lecture on the Armenians at the Baptist Church in Santa Ana Tuesday evening. Over \$24 was raised for the sufferers, and one lady took off her gold ring and sold it for the money in the tray. Rev. Gartin hopes to sell the ring before Monday of next week, and to realize as much out of its sale as possible, so as to swell the present amount raised before a draft for the money is sent off to the sufferers. The draft will not be forwarded until next week, so that there should be others who desire to contribute something to the sufferers there is yet an opportunity.

Word was sent to The Times branch office today that there would be a sort of "work out" or impromptu race on the fairgrounds on Saturday morning, between the two well-known flyers, Khamath and Chehalis. A number from this city will no doubt drive out to see the winners.

Crayon sketches and humorous cartoons will be drawn in profusion in Santa Ana next Saturday evening in Spurgeon's Hall. J. M. Spedron will be the artist, and the proceeds will be given under the auspices of the Y.M.C.A. of Santa Ana.

Ruperto Soto of Orange was arrested a few days ago on a charge of assault on the person of Thomas Duarte. He was taken before Justice of the Peace Cradick and a jury of twelve men, Tuesday. The jury declared him "not guilty."

Julian Berduzo, charged with the murder of José Sepulveda, was before Superior Judge George C. W. Heathman, Esq., was appointed a counsel for the prisoner, and Thursday, March 12, was set for his arraignment.

REDONDO.

A Case of Rejected Demands for Legal Services.

REDONDO, March 11.—(Regular Correspondence.) The Board of Trustees at the meeting Monday evening rejected two demands presented by the firm of Marib & Phipps, Los Angeles attorneys, amounting to about \$1000. The demands were for legal services rendered the city. There had been a meeting of the Board of Trustees at the city hall in town, so the city has employed outside talent to attend to its legal business. The firm named was employed at a monthly rate of compensation. The firm represented the city in three suits which were taken directly into the Superior Court and presented a claim for additional services therefor. The firm contended that its contract with the city provided only for cases tried in Justice Court, and the cases appealed to the Superior Court, but not for those taken into the Superior Court direct. The city's contention was that the contract required the firm to attend to all the legal business of the city. The demand for additional services was rejected, payment of the monthly rate was stopped, and other legal talent was employed. The demands for extra services and for unpaid regular compensation, as rejected by the Trustees Monday evening, amount to about \$1000.

BREVIETTES.

Mrs. J. C. Sanderson of Auburn, N. Y., is a guest at the Redondo Hotel. The local court of Foresters will celebrate its fifth anniversary Saturday evening with a social. An interesting programme is announced to be presented.

The ladies of the Congregational Church are to give a social this evening at Foresters' Hall.

Miss Relia Barnes and sister of Los Angeles, but formerly of Redondo, visited S. R. Commander and other friends Sunday.

Mr. and Mrs. Lafayette McWilliams, Hugh L. McWilliams and W. M. Smith of Chicago have been for a few days at the Redondo Hotel. The first-named gentleman is a commission merchant.

Dr. Annie M. Hopkins and Mrs. Dr. M. A. Green of Chicago are quartered at the Redondo Hotel.

The schooner San Buena Ventura, Capt. Dahlhoff, arrived Monday from Gray's Harbor with 215,000 feet of lumber and sailed today for the same place.

K. G. Bagley, foreman of the electric department of the Southern California Railway, is on duty again.

after an illness resulting from a severe fall.

Among the arrivals registered at the Redondo Hotel are: George J. Cook and wife and Miss Harris, Toronto, Can.; Capt. J. C. Wyman, Chicago; Mr. and Mrs. John Woodwell, Pittsburgh, Pa.; Mrs. W. P. Logan, Philadelphia; Mr. Jean McCoy, Bryn Mawr, Pa.; Mrs. J. P. Webster, Brookline, Mass.; Mrs. Howard Marston and Mr. and Mrs. Edward Devlin, Boston.

CATALINA.

AVALON (Catalina Island), March 11.—(Regular Correspondence.) Yesterday morning islanders enjoyed the rare sight of big breakers rolling in on the shore of the usually quiet bay, caused by a strong wind blowing from the mainland. The mountains on the opposite shore were quite hidden from view by the atmospheric disturbance, and the surf rivalled that of Santa Monica. The waves continued to dash on the beach all the forenoon, but later in the day the gale died away, and the bay was calm.

Some fishermen made a big catch of groupers the other day, the lot weighing over 300 pounds.

E. B. Haber, manager of the Daily Commonwealth of Fond du Lac, Wis., one of the leading newspapers of that State, is spending a few days on the island, stopping at the Sea Beach. Mr. Haber, accompanied by his brother, is making the tour of California.

Mrs. C. A. Neil of Los Angeles, accompanied by her friend, Mrs. E. F. Stokely of Franklin, Pa., are recent guests at the Metropole.

Miss Page and Miss Hadlock of Denver and the Sea Beach last night for a stay of a few days.

The Leonard brothers of Syracuse went on goat hunting expedition yesterday, spending the night at the Alpine Tavern, at Middle ranch, and returning with a fine kid as a trophy.

Rev. A. J. Melchior of Pasadena came over on last night's steamer and is at the Metropole.

Mr. and Mrs. Albert Booz of Philadelphia, Mrs. L. Z. Bigelow and C. G. Bigelow of Albany, N. Y., are a quartette of arrivals at the Metropole. They will remain on the island for several days.

W. H. Burnham of Orange has rented the island Home Cottage, owned by Dr. W. Wooster of Pasadena, for the season.

Mr. and Mrs. J. M. Cook of Perth Amboy, N. Y., have been spending several days at the Sea Beach.

Edward A. Bagnat of Buffalo, N. Y., is at the Metropole.

Mrs. William P. Bennette and little daughter of Pittsburgh registered at the Metropole last night.

A boat was a glass bottom is the latest Catalina invention. Through this transparent boat one can watch the gold fish and the sea life below the surface to great advantage.

J. G. Hamilton and George H. Danforth are two New Yorkers who arrived at the Metropole last night.

J. Regnier, formerly of Topeka, Kan., has taken the Sea Beach for the coming season, and has already opened his house.

A. H. Merchant of Omaha, Howard Marston of Boston and Mr. and Mrs. Penkham of Milwaukee are a quartette of tourists who arrived at the Metropole last night.

Thomas J. Maddock and William B. Thomas of Cheshire, Eng., represent the foreign guests now stopping at the Metropole.

VENTURA COUNTY.

A PEEPING TOM PUT ON THE ROCK PILE.

Timid Huacema Women Much Relieved—Everybody is Digging Claims—The Afro-Italian War—Lighting a Scape Stone Yard by Electricity.

VENTURA, March 11.—(Regular Correspondence.) Huacema has had a Peeping Tom in the person of an old man named Cammin, who today was sentenced to ninety days on the rock pile. The old fellow has been in the habit of haunting front and back doorways at night or early in the evening, and peering into the windows and eaves of the houses. His method has been to select houses when he knew the master of the house was away. So far he has done nothing more than frighten women and children. His enforced service on the rock pile will be hailed with delight by the timid folks of the seaside town.

VENTURA BREVIETTES.

Clam-digging is one of the profitable fads of the Lenten season. The prevailing low tide affords an opportunity for clamming and surf-fishing that everybody, old and young, is availing himself of. Ventura's fish markets have a selection of edible fish not so readily obtained by any city in the State, and prices are low, as the supply far exceeds the demand. Tuesday afternoon the market was fairly alive with schools of small fish of the sardine family. Opposite the Agricultural Fair grounds the water swarmed with these little fish. The fish were plentiful for hundreds of porpoises and sea birds which followed the fish along the coast on their journey northward. The fish were so numerous that they were too large to use for these tiny specimens of the fish tribe, but but few were caught.

Tuesday afternoon this city was treated to a small edition of the Abyssinian war, and with like results as to Italy, as the outcome was the complete massacre of the Italian soldiers. The keeper named Bullett. Two tough specimens of Afro-Americans called on the Italian boniface, and after parading for a few minutes, proceeded to climb all over the bar and wound up by soundly thrashing the son of sunny Italy. This afternoon Justice Robert, sitting as court of inquiry, adjudged matters by sentencing the dusky tough to the rock pile.

Superintendent Kilpatrick of the Sespe Brown Stone Company has put out a thirty-horsepower light power dynamo at the stoneyard switch, Sespe Station. With this improvement he expects to be able to work over hours on the dynamo.

It was demonstrated that stone-workers can get good results under electric lights.

THE NORWALK OSTRICH FARM.
Two hundred birds of all ages. Ten-day round trip, 50 cents; Sunday, 50 cents. Take Southern Pacific Company's Santa Ana train.

MY 900 FURNACE.
In large enough for a ten-room house. It burns no more fuel than a grate. It is guaranteed to heat. F. E. Brown, No. 214 South Spring street.

AROUND THE ORANGE BELT LINE, \$3.50.
The Southern Pacific Company's inside track train. Limit ten days. Stop-over at Pasadena, Monrovia, Duarte, Covina, Pomona, Ontario, Chino, Riverside, San Bernardino, Redlands, etc.

ALL OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA.
Best seat, quickest seen, by the Orange Belt Line of the Southern Pacific Company. Fastest train, best equipment, most convenient service. Ask any agent about the B.E. inside track ticket.

SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

THE MAYOR'S BROTHER-IN-LAW FOUND A BETTER JOB.

He Will Inspect the Books and Conduct of All City Officials—The Water Company Resists a Reduction of Rates.

SAN DIEGO, March 11.—(Regular Correspondence.) The boy Mayor of this city seeks revenge. On Tuesday night the Police Commissioners found Ferrer, Carlson's brother-in-law, guilty of insulting the flag and of using obscene language. The commissioners discharged the man from the force. Ferrer proposes to go to court. He announced to the public, under oath, that he has not insulted Old Glory, that there is a conspiracy against him, etc. On top of Ferrer's statement Mayor Carlson appoints the man, his brother-in-law, to inspect books and investigate the management of every department of the city government. The Mayor thus gives citizens another instance of his defiance of public opinion. He deliberately appoints a man to do public work who has just been found unfit to act as a city policeman by the commissioners, and who has been summarily dismissed from the force because he insulted the flag and used obscene language. Mayor Carlson's action is regarded as in keeping with his other erratic actions as Mayor. The City Council has become so tired of the Mayor's seeming boyishness that it merely receives his messages and files them in spacious pigeon-holes. In fact, the Council appears to heed Carlson, but little more than it would notice any citizen in private life.

THE WATER FIGHT.
The San Diego Water Company proposes to have its innings on the question of city water rates. The Council passed an ordinance cutting down the annual income of the company to a point considered utterly unreasonable by the corporation. By this ordinance the company's gross annual income will be reduced \$80,000. Therefore the company begins action against the city in the Superior Court today.

The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles on Tuesday. The water company appears to have given up the idea of a fair rate of return on the investment, etc., ought to yield the plaintiff \$265,000 yearly. A bill of equity embracing the matter was filed in the United States Circuit Court in Los Angeles

CITY BRIEFS

The lazy salesman for a post applies at stores which do not advertise. —Printer's Ink.

Desmond's 50-cent neckwear sale is the biggest attraction in town just now. It's a straight sale to the point of economy if you take advantage of this cyclone of cheapness. The wind is blowing your direction now, at a velocity of sixty miles an hour. Move to Desmond's, No. 141 South Spring street, quickly before the gust subsides, or instead of it you'll have nothing but the disgust at the chance you've let slip. Desmond is positively selling regular 75 cent ties this week for 50 cents. J. O'Brien & Co. make very attractive offerings this week in wash dress fabrics and silks, and dress trimmings, comprising a necessary list of things from New York. Ladies desirous of purchasing very stylish goods of reliable quality at prices that are ever earning happy comment will experience neither delay nor doubt when purchasing from us, the assortments being so complete that selection can be made with extreme promptness and satisfaction.

Desmond's bargains in hats are tempting enough to coax money out of a miser. Headgear of the most comfortable and presentable headgear is a necessity of respectability. You see heads in hats much oftener than you do bargains, but you'll prove that you see ahead if you take advantage of Desmond's big hat sale now going on at No. 141 South Spring street, in the Bryson Block.

Another invoice of sample shoes just received from New York. The most beautiful line of ladies' shoes brought to Los Angeles bought for 65 cents on the dollar, and our customers will get the benefit of this purchasing. New store, new goods, moderate prices. No. 238 South Broadway and 231 West Third street.

Mount Lowe Railway patrons with through tickets to Times Beach and the elegant Echo Mountain House, have free daily rides over the entire road, with visits to the valley as often as desired. Hotel rates moderate. Literature, views and full information at Mount Lowe Springs office, Third and Broadway, Los Angeles, and main office, Grand Opera House Block, Pasadena.

Organ concert at First Congregational Church, Tuesday, March 17, given by W. F. Steele, assisted by Mrs. Gertrude Auld-Thomson and Miss Maude Willis. Admission 25 cents. No reserved seats; tickets at J. B. Brown's, No. 111 North Spring street.

Tourists will find at Hotel San Gabriel, everything they desire; twenty minutes' ride on S. P. R. R. Trains leave Arcade Building at 2:30, 3:30, 4:30, 5:35 p.m. Steam heat, private baths, beautiful lawns.

For Rent—Fine, well-lighted front room in third story of Times Beach Hotel. Also, large rear room, suitable for society hall. Elevator to be installed. Times Building, basement.

The Carlton Hotel has changed management, and is now conducted by Mrs. Matterson as a first-class family hotel. American and European plan.

Mme. Gotthelf has returned from New York with the latest stock of imported millinery. Exhibition of pattern hats Wednesday and Thursday.

Ladies desiring hats should wait for the elegant display of imported patterns at Mrs. Josephine's, No. 317 South Broadway, next week.

Ladies, you are invited to my millinery opening, No. 318 South Spring street, Friday, March 15, and following days. Mrs. C. Dosh.

Los Angeles Hive, No. 1, L.O.T.M., are planning for an April Fool social Wednesday, April 1.

Millinery opening at Miss Jordan's, No. 318 South Spring street, Friday and Saturday of this week.

Eastern and California oysters on shell, 50c dozen, Hollbeck Hotel Cafe.

F. B. Howe, piano-tuner, Brown's music store, No. 111 North Spring street.

Big discount sale of euros, Campbell's Curio Store, No. 325 S. Spring.

Band-box millinery opening Friday and Saturday, No. 318 S. Spring St.

Orr & Hines, undertakers, removed to 647 Broadway. Tel. Main 65.

Mexican Leather Carver at Campbell's.

Sitting Bull Relics at Campbell's.

A little Italian newsboy was taken suddenly ill yesterday afternoon, while on Main street. He was taken to the Receiving Hospital for treatment.

Special Officer Best arrested a Chinaman named Jim Lee yesterday morning for peddling without a license. There are four charges against him. Trial was set for the 13th.

There are undelivered telegrams at the Western Union telegraph office for the following: Howard Joy, T. F. Best, John O'Neil, Charles E. Silvers, Rev. S. W. Wadsworth, N. A. Fiescher and M. C. Fish.

Another wheelman has come to grief through riding his bicycle on the sidewalk. Sergt. Jegries arrested E. Willhite yesterday morning for spinning along a cement walk on Earl street. Judge Owens fined Mr. Willhite \$5.

A young man named George Sawyer was driving a delivery wagon along Los Angeles street at 3:30 o'clock yesterday afternoon, when his horse took fright and ran away. Sawyer was thrown out and considerably bruised, scratched and shaken up.

Saturday evening, March 14, Kenesaw Relief Corps, No. 22, will celebrate a tenth anniversary at Moore's Hall, No. 609 1/2 Downey avenue, East Los Angeles, four good literary and musical programme will be rendered, and refreshments will be served.

Coroner Campbell will hold an inquest at Kresgel & Bresch's this morning over the body of E. G. Graves, a house-painter, who died suddenly yesterday afternoon while lying on a lounge in the house on Quebec street at which he has been boarding.

John Scott of Whittier was examined before Recorder Rosler yesterday on a charge of embezzlement preferred by D. F. Pritchard. Mr. Pritchard accused him of embezzling \$1300 in a grain transaction. After listening to the complicated story, His Honor decided there was no ground for the complaint, and ordered Mr. Scott discharged.

Two Irish laborers, William O'Brien and John Flynn, fell to drinking sour wine yesterday morning. They imbibed copiously, and pretty soon the heat of the sun acted upon their wine-soaked brains, and made them drunk as drunk could be. Deputy Sheriff Arguello arrested them and brought them to the City Jail. He had found them reeling along Los Angeles street near Aliso.

The Spring-street Improvement Association held its annual meeting yesterday morning at 10 o'clock, at No. 124 South Spring, and elected the following named officers: Abbot Kinney, president; Daniel Freeman, T. D. Blumson, R. W. Miner and Dan R. Mathews, vice-presidents; George E. Howe, secretary; Abbot Kinney, John Crummins, T. B. Bradshaw, T. B. Henry and George E. Howe, Executive Committee.

FOR THE OIL FIELDS.

The Alabama shoes bought at 50 cents on the dollar by the Massachusetts Shoe Store are now on sale, and among them are many just suited for wear in the oilfields, from \$1.25 up. Massachusetts Shoe Store, No. 125 West First street, near Spring.

WOMAN'S PRESS CLUB.

An Election of Officers at Yesterday's Meeting.

The election of officers at the morning session of the Woman's Press Club yesterday resulted in the reelection of Mrs. Burton Williamson as president, and of Miss Ella A. Giles as first vice-president. Mrs. Susie Sweet of Pomona was elected second vice-president. Mrs. A. A. Bowman, corresponding secretary; Mrs. M. A. Bowman was re-elected recording secretary; Mrs. S. A. McClees was elected treasurer; Mrs. Jennie McMuller, auditor; Miss Hattie Elliott, historian; and Misses Enderlein, J. Torrey, Connor and S. H. Jordan, executive board. The afternoon was devoted to a literary programme. Mrs. J. Torrey Connor read a paper, "In the Footsteps of Cable." Miss Ella A. Giles's paper was on "Idealism in Literature." Mrs. Susie Sweet's, on "Scraps," and Mrs. A. A. Bowman's, on "Personal Reminiscences of Literary People." Mrs. Jeanne C. Carr and Mrs. M. Severance, honorary members of the club, gave brief addresses.

The evening session was opened with a short address by W. C. Patterson, the C. S. de Lano Guitar and Mandolin Club rendered several selections during the evening. Miss Elliott gave a sketch of the year's work. Miss Josephine Giffin gave a reading, Mrs. C. S. Brown read a paper written by Mrs. Lillian P. Ferguson of San Francisco, on "A Press Club's Possibilities," and Mrs. Rebecca Spring gave some of her charming reminiscences.

MUSICAL MENTION.

Frans Ondrick, the great Bohemian violinist, who has been touring the world's greatest dramatic prima donna, will be heard in concert at the Los Angeles Theater, March 22. Ondrick has won triumph after triumph on the violin, and wherever he has appeared before the world's most critical audiences. He was most enthusiastically received in Boston and New York. It is said that his tone is full and singularly pure, his technique superb, and that he plays with dash, vigor, warmth and genuine musical feeling.

Found a Long-lost Brother.

Samuel Bingham, with his wife, left Ottawa, Can., on the 26th of January for California to find his brother from whom he had gotten no word for over thirty years. The story begins when John A. Bingham, his brother, finished his apprenticeship as a miller and left Bytown, now called Ottawa, the Dominion capital, assisted by his wife, Mary. Samuel followed him, and, after working two years, in 1860, ran away. In 1862, John Bingham came to California, and with the exception of a few letters for the first five years, nothing was heard of him. In order to find his brother, Mr. Bingham first went to San Francisco, and inquired among the mill-ling establishments. Finally, he got a trace of the lost man and followed it up to San Diego, where he found John at the head of a flour mill that turns out one hundred barrels a day. The meeting was mutually happy, each one having feared the other was no more.

Licensed to Wed.

Ward P. Inman, aged 26, a native of Iowa, and Lizzie N. Cole, aged 20, a native of Michigan, both residents of Covina.

Stephen S. Carter, aged 30, a native of Indiana, and Mamie E. Stephens, aged 35, a native of Ohio, both residents of Pasadena.

William Lindsay, aged 21, a native of Illinois, and Lucia S. Dickson, aged 17, a native of Minnesota, both residents of Los Angeles.

Charles H. Manter, aged 65, a native of Ohio, and Carrie Dundas, aged 54, a native of Pennsylvania, both residents of Pasadena.

The Suit Against James.

In the District Court before Judge Wellborn yesterday the case of the United States of America vs. J. F. James, charged with selling improper matter through the mails, was continued on motion of the attorney for the defendant and with the consent of the District Attorney until this morning.

THE SIOUX INDIAN CHIEFS

In Campbell's Curio Window, 325 South Spring.

Sitting Bull, Fure Cloud, Chief Galt, Running Antelope, Rain-in-the-Face, Thunder Hawk and Other Famous Warriors.

These famous Indian warriors can be seen in Campbell's Curio window life-size photos. Some of them are dressed in their war costumes. The relics of Sitting Bull used at the ghost dance are on exhibition there. Medals, revolvers, bows and quivers, war clubs. Sitting Bull's famous medicine drum and other ornaments. Don't miss this chance to see the great sun robe are there. Photos of these famous people for sale. Largest stock of Indian goods on the Coast. We have sold several large bills lately to collectors, and many good curios are gone. If you want anything in this line don't wait too long, but come at once and get your pick. We are giving big bargains in blankets, baskets, moccasins, bead work, bows, papoose carriers, money purses, pipes, leggings and other ornaments. Don't miss this chance without seeing our great collection. Campbell's Curio Store, No. 325 South Spring.

NOTICE.

Hereafter the Flower Kingdom Herb Remedy Company will receive no visitors on Sundays or on Wednesday afternoons.

PERFECT SATISFACTION GUARANTEED.

PRICES FOR THIS WEEK:

Solid Gold Spectacles and Eye-glass Frames at..... \$1.50

Finest Gold-filled Frames Including Lenses, at..... \$1.50

DR. C. J. POLLOCK,

Ocular Scientist and Graduate in Ophthalmology.

Nineteen years' practical experience in treatment of Eyes and fitting of glasses. Examine eyes free of charge. We guarantee all our work to give perfect satisfaction at

213 South Spring Street.

Hollenbeck Hotel Block, three doors south of Coulter's Dry Goods Store.

SHOES PROGRESS MERIT

Men are reformed, the weather grows fine, business is picking up. Nature itself is a constant progress, but our shoes do not improve, for the simple reason they have always been just as good as the finest leather and a scientific skill could make them. They are right up to the standard, A. We don't brag; we don't give chromos; we are not cutting prices. Our Shoes must stand on their merits. They will stand on their merits or you may have another pair. That's the kind of shoes we sell, and that's the way we sell 'em. If you want to buy square footwear, in a square way,

Go to Godin's

104 N. Spring.

Women who Plan to Save

Need plan no more. We plan for you. Retailing Millinery Materials at wholesale prices such as these:

Garden Hats, black and white..... 18c
Children's School 19c to 48c
Hats..... 39c
Sens' Brail Sailors..... 65c
Fancy Lace Edge Leg..... 39c to \$1.23

The Marvel Cut Rate

Millinery Co.

Double Store,

241-243 South Broadway.

THE WHITE HOUSE

245 S. Broadway.

Dress Linings.

Are you particularly about saving money on your Dress Linings?

The White House,

(WINEBURGH'S.)

Not a Woman In Town.

Who doesn't admire our stylish Trimmed Hats, and all at such low prices.

H. Hoffman, Millinery,

240 S. Spring St.

DUCK SUITS

Made of elegant quality material for children from 4 to 12 years of age. Very stylish sailor collar, full skirt, handsomely trimmed. No such suits as these in town for the money. Only \$1.50 and \$2.00, but then you

"Buy of the Maker."

I. MAGNIN & CO.

137 S. Spring St.

Tel. 702 Black.

Free Pasadena Delivery.

The largest stock, the lowest prices, the best qualities and styles in NEW WASH GOODS.

Send for Samples.

It Pays to Trade on Spring Street.

A. Hamburger and Sons

NORTH SPRING STREET

Sole agents for Wright & Peters' Ladies' fine shoes, Dugan & Hudson's Children's fine shoes.

Mail Orders Filled.

A mighty host of Silks and Dress Goods.

A grand, inspiring, bewitching display. Mountains of things that will make the women's eyes dance with delight, and prices more tempting than you dare expect. Every woman is interested in knowing what is here. You are very welcome to come in and examine. We want you to feel as if this was "your" store. We want you to learn just how much smaller our prices are than those of any other store—just how much larger the assortment and just how much more entrancing the goods are.

Colored Dress Goods.

Cheviot Novelties 15c.
New Cheviot Novelties, gray and brown, mixed checks and semi-checks. Sheppard's plaids; 30 distinct real Scotch designs.

Broche Mohairs 20c.
Splendid quality Broche Mohairs in two-tone combinations—a really good value and very stylish.

English Cheviots 25c.
Real English Cheviots, all wool, medium colorings for street wear, 40 inches wide; last year's 30c and 40c qualities.

Sheppard's Plaids 30c.
Sheppard's Plaids in black and white, brown and white, navy and white; 38 inches wide, extra quality.

Mottled Mixtures 35c.
Fancy Mottled Mixtures in charming two and three-tone blends, ten different shades, new Angora finish, 38 inches wide.

All-Wool Cheviots 40c.
New All-Wool Cheviots in Scotch gray and brown mixtures, splendid quality, 40 inches wide, 40 inches broad.

Silk Effect Novelties 45c.
New Raw Silk Effect Novelties, all wool, in the latest charming color blends and shades, 40 inches broad.

Novelty Dress Goods.

Fancy Novelties, 50c.
Fancy Novelty Dress Goods—Boucle effects, Broches, Figured Mottled Mixtures, Sheppard's Plaids; Camel's-hair Serge, Figured Mohairs, Cheviots, 30 styles, 38 and 40 inches broad.

Pinhead Checks, 80c.
Fancy Pinhead Checks, in two tone Novelty Mohairs, 48 inches broad, and right up in style.

Novelty Mohairs, 85c.
Fancy Novelty Figured Mohairs, elegant quality and choice colorings, 40 inches broad.

Imported Novelties, 75c.
New Imported Novelties, more than a hundred styles in the very newest weaves, 40 to 48 inches broad, silk and wool, plain, fancy and broadened. Best values in the city.

Choice Novelties, 85c.
High-class Silk and Wool Boucle and other Novelties—every weave, every color, the classic styles of the Fabric world, and ought to be \$1.00.

Pattern Suits.
Imported Pattern Suits, \$7.50.
Fine Silk and Wool Novelty Imported Pattern Suits, soft, exquisite color effects, 48 inches broad, 7 1/2 yards in Pattern.

English Pattern Suits, \$10.50
English Checks, swell broken Checks, elegant quality, 56 inches broad, 7 yds. in pattern.

Black Dress Goods.

Novelty Mohairs 40c.
Novelty Mohairs, some of the greatest values that have ever been produced, entirely new designs and excellent quality.

Figured Mohairs 50c.
Black Figured Mohairs, elegant quality, 40 inches broad, all of the newest, latest designs, such as are now being used for skirts.

Broche Mohairs 65c.
Black Fancy Broche Mohairs, superb quality, elegant finish, 40 inches broad, new wavy effects.

English Worsted Diagonals 70c.
Fine Black Imported English Worsted Diagonal, an elegant quality, 52 inches broad, actually \$1.25 value.

Priestley's Novelties \$1.
Priestley's Novelty Dress Goods, in black only, and just a few pieces, one of the choicest of the season's black sorts, 44 inches broad.

Black Novelties, \$1.50.
Fancy Black Boucles and Mohair Stripes, two of the most beautiful things of the season, 48 inches broad.

Imported Black Novelties, \$2.00.
New Imported Black Novelties, in Moiré, Gendarme and Crepon effects, richly embossed, 48 inches broad.

SILKS Spring Values.

India Silks 37 1/2c.
Printed India Silks, choice patterns in figures and stripes on light and medium grounds.

Taffeta Silks 50c.
Persian and Figured Taffeta Brocaded Silks in the new and novel spring effects, almost every pattern thought.

Twilled India Silks 75c.
Twilled India Silks in rich satin stripes with beautiful floral designs, full 34 inches wide, extra choice colorings.

Black Brocaded Silks 75c.
Rich Black Brocaded Silks in a dozen different elegant designs, very heavy, elegant quality, just the thing for separate skirts.

Taffeta Stripes 85c.
Swiss Taffeta Stripes, faintly colored and neat narrow stripes in the best styles, especially pretty for waists.

Printed Warp Silks, \$1.00.
Fancy Dresden and Printed Warp Silks in elegant figures and stripes, light and medium colorings.

Novelty Silks, \$1.25.
Fancy Novelty Silks, in two-tone Taffeta, full 27 inches wide, exquisite color effects, just the thing for a score of pieces to pick from.

Ladies' Wraps.

Knowing WHERE to buy is a great deal; knowing HOW to buy is a great thing too; the Cape, Jacket and Suits show the most careful and tasteful of the buyer. If you have a pair of shoes to buy can you afford to pass this store by?

Wash Fabrics.

A stock that doubles in size the showing of any other. One may choose at 10c or 50c and find the artistic touch that control the silk world. Dimities, Lawns, Mulls, Percales, Zephyrs, Linens, Batistes, Grass Cloths.

Fine Footwear.

A stock distinguished for all the very latest Spring styles, and with prices at the lowest notch known for the last year. If you have a pair of shoes to buy can you afford to pass this store by?

N. B. BLACKSTONE CO.

DRY GOODS.

Lace Department.

Especially attractive are the Mousseline de Soie, with Honiton braid effects, spangled nets in elegant designs, as well as the popular linen batiste embroidery bands and all over webbing.

We have placed on our counters, just for a leader, four lines of Hamburg 5c, 10c, 12 1/2c, 15c Edging, at.....

These are exceptional values, and the early buyer will get the daintiest patterns.

A few dozen Ladies' Embroidered Linen Handkerchiefs, 25c good value at 35c; today.....

Our Parasol stock is full of attractions; a complete line of Children's Parasols from..... 25c to \$2.50

N. B. BLACKSTONE CO.

Telephone 259. 171 and 173 North Spring Street.

DR. PIERCE'S GALVANIC CHAIN BELT.

Dr. Pierce's Belt has many points of advantage over others, but we have room to mention but THREE in this advertisement. First—it looks like the pictures. We do not represent one thing in the papers and try to sell you something entirely different when you call the office. Second—it is sold at about ONE-HALF the price asked for belts of inferior make. Third—The current regulator of Dr. Pierce's Belt is NOT A TOY. It gives the full force of electric current at all times, but prevents burning and disagreeable sensation of every kind. A thorough examination of these goods and comparison with all others is respectfully invited. Call or write for free "Pamphlet No. 2." Address DR. PIERCE & SON, 708 to 704 Sacramento street, second, third and fourth floors, San Francisco.

1896 Spring and Summer 1896

JOE POHEIM,

The Tailor.

Has much pleasure in announcing the arrival of his New Stock for the incoming season. The Styles are complete and artistic in every way.

Suits to Order..... \$20
Pants to Order..... \$5
All garments shrunk before making. The Largest Tailoring Establishment in Los Angeles.

143 S. Spring st., Bryson Block. J. F. HENDERSON, Manager.

We Extract Teeth

---WITHOUT PAIN---
Or No Charge.

New York Dental Parlors

213 1/2 S. SPRING ST.

OIL FUEL OIL.

EUREKA OIL CO.
204 1/2 S. Broadway, Los Angeles.

FUEL OIL delivered in city and to all cars Los Angeles. Write or call on us for lowest prices and information. E. L. ALLEN, President.

For Coughs, Colds, Grippe, Neuralgia, Rheumatism and other

His take TURKISH BATHS, 210 South Broadway.

Pioneer Truck Company,

No. 3 Market street. Piano, Furniture and Safe Moving, Wagons and Freight delivered promptly to address. Telephone 127.

Tied Down

to household work, to the scrubbing brush and bucket, to the dish pan and housecloth. That was woman's position until

GOLD DUST Washing Powder

came to her rescue. Now she does all her work in the morning—does as she pleases in the afternoon. GOLD DUST has found an entrance to many thousands homes, will you welcome it to yours? Large packages, price 25c. Sold everywhere. Made only by

The H. K. Fairbank Company,
St. Louis, Chicago, New York, Boston, Philadelphia, San Francisco.

Prices Cut In Two!

Nicoll, the Tailor's

During February 134 S. Spring St.

LOS ANGELES INCUBATORS AND BROODERS.

Are the best. See them before buying.

POULTRY SUPPLIES.
Bone Cutters, Alfalfa Cutters, Shell Grinders, Spray Pumps, Capcans, Spraying Nozzles, Feeding Machines, etc. Catalogue free.

JOHN D. MERRILL, 117 E. Second st.